ISSN: 2658-8455
Volume 4, Issue 4-1 (2023), pp. 750-772.

IJAFAME
International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management & Economics

Enhancing Resilience in Food Systems: A Comprehensive Review of Innovative Measures

Renforcer la résilience des systèmes alimentaires : Un aperçu détaillé des mesures novatrices

Fatima zahra MOUSSAID, (*Doctorante*)
Faculty of Economics and Management of Kenitra
Ibn Tofail University, Morocco

Hikma BACHEGOUR, (*Doctorante*)
National School of Commerce and Management of Kenitra
Ibn Tofail University, Morocco

Mounir JERRY, (*Enseignant -Chercheur*)
Faculty of Economics and Management of Kenitra
Ibn Tofail University, Morocco

Ahlam QAFAS, (*Enseignante-Chercheuse)*
National School of Commerce and Management of Kenitra
Ibn Tofail University, Morocco

Correspondance address :	Faculty of Economics and Management of Kenitra Ibn Tofail University Morocco B.P 242 -Kenitra.
Disclosure Statement :	Authors are not aware of any findings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this study.
Conflict of Interest :	The authors report no conflicts of interest.
Cite this article :	MOUSSAID, F. zahra, BACHEGOUR, H., JERRY, M., & QAFAS, A. (2023). Enhancing Resilience in Food Systems: A Comprehensive Review of Innovative Measures. International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management and Economics, 4(4-1), 750-772. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8299459
License	**This is an open-access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license.**

Received: July 30, 2023 *Accepted: August 29, 2023*

Enhancing Resilience in Food Systems: A Comprehensive Review of Innovative Measures

Abstract:

The idea of food system resilience refers to the ability of the food system to withstand and recover from challenges and shocks. It has garnered significant attention due to the increasing fluctuations in climate, prices, and political stability. Moreover, recent pandemics have further endangered the food system, potentially worsening undernourishment. This research paper offers a critical literature review to examine the measures proposed and put into practice to strengthen the resilience of our food systems. With the increasing challenges posed by climate change, population growth, and market fluctuations, it has become increasingly important to establish food systems that are both robust and sustainable. By analyzing articles, reports, and case studies, this paper explores the effectiveness and potential of various strategies such as diversifying food sources, adopting sustainable farming techniques, implementing climate-smart agriculture practices, embracing technological innovations, promoting local food systems, and introducing social and economic innovations. The findings underscore the significance of these measures in bolstering the resilience of food systems while shedding light on areas that necessitate research and evaluation to achieve a sustainable approach to enhance resilience.

Keywords: Food system resilience, Literature review, Innovation, SDG 2, Climate change.
Classification JEL: Q01- Q18- Q55
Paper type: Theoretical Research

Résumé :

Le concept de résilience du système alimentaire fait référence à la capacité du système alimentaire à résister et à se remettre des défis et des chocs. Elle a fait l'objet d'une attention particulière en raison des fluctuations croissantes du climat, des prix et de la stabilité politique. En outre, les récentes pandémies ont encore mis en péril le système alimentaire, risquant d'aggraver la sous-alimentation. Ce document de recherche propose une analyse critique de la littérature afin d'examiner les mesures proposées et mises en pratique pour renforcer la résilience des systèmes alimentaires. Face aux défis croissants posés par le changement climatique, la croissance démographique et les fluctuations du marché, il est de plus en plus important de mettre en place des systèmes alimentaires à la fois robustes et durables. En analysant des articles, des rapports et des études de cas, ce document explore l'efficacité et le potentiel de diverses stratégies telles que la diversification des sources alimentaires, l'adoption de techniques agricoles durables, la mise en œuvre de pratiques agricoles intelligentes face au climat, l'adoption d'innovations technologiques, la promotion de systèmes alimentaires locaux, la réduction du gaspillage alimentaire et l'introduction d'innovations sociales et économiques. Les résultats soulignent l'importance de ces mesures pour renforcer la résilience des systèmes alimentaires tout en mettant en lumière les domaines qui nécessitent des recherches et des évaluations pour parvenir à une approche durable visant à renforcer la résilience.

Mots clés: Résilience du système alimentaire, Revue de littérature, innovation, ODD 2, changement climatique.
JEL Classification: Q01- Q18- Q55
Type du papier: Recherche Théorique

ISSN: 2658-8455
Volume 4, Issue 4-1 (2023), pp. 750-772.

IJAFAME
International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management & Economics

1. Introduction

During the 20th century, the global food system has shown its ability to adapt and endure different challenges and pressures, especially with the significant increase in the world population. This period saw a rise in production that exceeded population growth. At the time, international trade played a crucial role in ensuring the resilience of food systems in many countries, even those dealing with limited resources (Caron et al., 2023). However, unsuitable production and trade openness is not a solution anymore, as food systems are facing growing challenges such as climate change, population growth, resource scarcity, and socioeconomic inequalities (Friedman et al., 2022; Hoddinott, 2023)

These systems must be resilient, adapting to shocks and ensuring a supply of safe and nutritious food for the growing global population (Béné, 2020; Tendall et al., 2015). Moreover, addressing these challenges contributes to achieving Sustainable Development Goal 2, which aims to achieve "zero hunger" by ending all forms of malnutrition and hunger by 2030 and ensuring that everyone has sufficient food throughout the year, especially children (Arora & Mishra, 2022).

In this context, innovative approaches play a primordial role in enhancing the resilience of food systems and achieving SDG 2 by promoting sustainability, optimizing resource utilization, and improving efficiency in production, distribution, and consumption (Meyer, 2020).

The main objective of this paper is to explore and analyze innovative measures that contribute to the resilience of food systems. We aim to evaluate their effectiveness, potential tradeoffs, scalability, adaptability, and impact on policy and practice. By reviewing and discussing these measures comprehensively, we seek to provide insights into their role in building resilient food systems while offering recommendations for future research and action.

To achieve our goal, this research paper adopts a literature review approach in examining and analyzing measures that can enhance the resilience of food systems by conducting a thorough search across academic journals, reports, and relevant publications. The review of existing literature focused on themes such as diversifying food sources, sustainable farming techniques, climate-smart agriculture, technological innovations, local food systems reducing food waste, and social and economic innovations.

Careful analysis was performed on the collected literature to identify findings. The effectiveness of these measures was evaluated, along with exploring tradeoffs and challenges. Scalability and adaptability were also assessed to draw implications for policy and practice. This analysis followed a rigorous approach to ensure the reliability and validity of the research findings. The results are presented in a manner providing detailed insights into each innovative measure while considering their implications for building resilient food systems.

It is important to note that this research paper analyzes the selected innovative measures. However, it is crucial to acknowledge that these findings are based on existing literature and may not capture all developments or nuances in the field. Therefore, further research and empirical studies are needed to enhance our understanding of these measures' impacts on food system resilience.

In the following sections of this paper, each innovative measure will be thoroughly examined with discussions surrounding its effectiveness, potential tradeoffs, scalability potential, and adaptability factors. The paper will also suggest studies and emphasize the significance of these measures for practical application. By examining these aspects, the paper seeks to add to the conversation about constructing resilient food systems and encourage efforts toward a sustainable and reliable future of food.

2. Literature review and theoretical model

This section examines various sources, such as academic research articles, policy documents, and expert reports. Through an in-depth synthesis and analysis of diverse viewpoints, this review aims to analyze these viewpoints and identify common strategies for enhancing the resilience of food systems.
Drawing from the insights gathered from the literature review, the subsequent segment of this section aims to present a theoretical model that will serve as a conceptual framework to understand the interactions between diverse themes, their potential synergies, and the pathways through which they collectively contribute to reinforcing food system resilience.

2.1 Literature review

This literature review thoroughly examines and analyzes many creative approaches to strengthening food system resilience. The examination focuses on essential issues that have emerged as critical foundations in this attempt. These themes include food source diversification, implementation of sustainable farming techniques, adoption of climate-smart agriculture practices, technological innovation exploration, promotion of local food systems, food waste reduction, and examination of social and economic innovations.

2.1.1 Diversification of Food Sources

Diversification of food sources through innovative approaches such as agroforestry systems, vertical farming, and hydroponics holds great potential for enhancing the resilience of food systems. These approaches contribute to increased biodiversity, land efficiency, resource conservation, and climate change mitigation. By expanding the range of available food sources and optimizing resource utilization, diversification strategies enhance the ability of food systems to adapt and withstand shocks, ultimately promoting a more sustainable and resilient food future.
Innovative approaches such as agroforestry systems, vertical farming, and hydroponics provide prospects for food supply diversification. These initiatives have the potential to increase biodiversity, make better use of land and resources, and aid in the mitigation of climate change. Diversification techniques can increase food systems' ability to adapt and survive unpredictable challenges by expanding the variety of food sources and maximizing resource usage, ultimately promoting a resilient food supply future.

- **Agroforestry Systems**

Agroforestry systems have become a creative way to diversify our food sources and strengthen our food systems. It combines the cultivation of trees and crops to benefit both (Waldron et al., 2017). This approach brings advantages, such as increased biodiversity, improved soil fertility, and enhanced ecosystem services.
Furthermore, agroforestry systems make agricultural ecosystems more resilient. The presence of trees helps protect against climate-related risks like temperatures and wind damage while providing shade for crops and acting as windbreaks (Zomer et al., 2016). The diverse root systems of trees contribute to soil structure and moisture retention, which reduces the impact of droughts and ensures enough water for crops.
Moreover, agroforestry systems help fight climate change by absorbing carbon dioxide through tree growth while minimizing greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural activities. Additionally, these systems support biodiversity (SDG 15) by creating homes for plants and animals. This promotes balance and helps with natural pest control (Clough et al., 2011; Schroth et al., 2004).

- **Vertical Farming**

ISSN: 2658-8455
Volume 4, Issue 4-1 (2023), pp. 750-772.

IJAFAME
International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management & Economics

Vertical farming produces food that involves growing crops in stacked layers or structures, particularly in urban areas (Sengodan, 2022). By utilizing space, this approach maximizes land efficiency and allows year-round cultivation irrespective of external climate conditions (Touliatos et al., 2016). Various techniques like hydroponics, aeroponics, or aquaponics can be employed to implement farming (Al-Kodmany, 2018).

Vertical farming offers benefits to enhance the resilience of the food system. Firstly, it reduces the dependence on agricultural land and is well suited for urban regions where arable land is scarce. This localized production decreases transportation distances minimizing the carbon footprint associated with food distribution while promoting sustainability within the food system (Banerjee & Adenaeuer, 2014; Specht et al., 2014).

Secondly, vertical farming provides a controlled environment that optimizes growing conditions. Variables such as temperature, humidity, and nutrient levels can be precisely regulated. This results in increased crop yields, reduced water consumption, and lower risks of pests and diseases (Healy & Rosenberg, 2013). Moreover, this controlled environment ensures food production throughout the year regardless of seasonal variations or climatic challenges.

- **Hydroponics**

Hydroponics is a farming method that doesn't rely on soil. Instead, it involves growing plants in rich water solutions (Bridgewood, 2008). Materials like perlite coconut coir or rock wool usually support these plants. Hydroponics allows for control over nutrient delivery, water availability, and environmental conditions, ultimately leading to efficient plant growth and optimal use of resources.

One of the advantages of hydroponic systems is their versatility in terms of location. They can be implemented in urban spaces, deserts, or regions with poor soil quality (Treftz & Omaye, 2016). Eliminating the need for soil hydroponics opens up opportunities for food production where traditional agriculture may not be viable.

Water conservation is another benefit offered by hydroponic systems. Compared to soil-based farming, they require significantly less water. The recirculation of water within the system minimizes loss through evaporation or runoff (Marques et al., 2019). Additionally, tailored nutrient solutions reduce the need for excessive fertilizer usage and minimize nutrient runoff into water bodies that can contribute to environmental pollution.

Regarding crop yields and growth cycles, hydroponics outperforms agriculture due to its optimized growing conditions and continuous supply of nutrients. This accelerated production cycle enhances the efficiency and responsiveness of the food system, enabling it to adjust to fluctuations in demand or disruptions in supply effectively.

2.1.2 Sustainable Farming Techniques

Sustainable farming practices, such as regenerative agriculture, organic farming, and precision farming, offer innovative solutions to strengthen the resilience of food systems. These approaches prioritize our soil's health, biodiversity conservation, efficient resource utilization, and environmental sustainability. By embracing these methods, farmers can optimize their use of inputs to minimize impacts and enhance our food production system's long-term viability and resilience.

- **Regenerative Agriculture**

Regenerative agriculture is an approach that aims to restore and improve ecosystem health while enhancing agricultural productivity (Rhodes, 2017). It involves implementing practices promoting soil health, biodiversity preservation, and carbon sequestration, leading to sustainable food systems(Gosnell et al., 2019).

The fundamental principles of this technique include minimizing soil disturbance and maximizing soil coverage with vegetation or crops at all times, promoting biodiversity by integrating livestock into the farming system alongside crop rotation(Schoolman, 2019). Reducing or eliminating tillage in agriculture practices can preserve soil structure while preventing erosion (LaCanne & Lundgren, 2018). This also enhances water infiltration capacity and retention within the soil. Additionally, using cover crops and crop residues as layers on the soil safeguard against erosion while enriching organic matter content in a way that supports beneficial microorganisms in the ground.

Promoting biodiversity is another aspect of regenerative agriculture by creating habitats for insects like bees or birds and fostering diverse populations of beneficial organisms within the soil. We encourage natural pest control mechanisms and nutrient cycling processes(Schoolman, 2019).

Incorporating livestock into crop systems using methods like grazing has several benefits. It helps improve soil fertility by cycling nutrients, enhancing the quality of pastures, and reducing the reliance on inputs (Grant, 2017). Moreover, regenerative agriculture plays a role in mitigating climate change. It achieves this by increasing matter in the soil, which aids in carbon sequestration and helps counterbalance greenhouse gas emissions. Additionally, regenerative systems improve soil structure and its ability to retain water, enhancing resilience during droughts and extreme weather events(Kastner, 2016).

- **Organic Farming**

Organic farming is a method of agriculture that focuses on using natural inputs and avoids using synthetic chemicals, GMOs, and irradiation (Gomiero et al., 2011). Its goal is to minimize environmental harm, promote biodiversity, and produce high-quality food without chemical residues.

In farming, various practices are employed. Crop rotation is one practice where different crops are grown in a specific sequence to prevent soil depletion and the buildup of diseases(Bowles et al., 2020). Composting materials is another technique to improve soil fertility, enhance nutrient cycling and reduce reliance on synthetic fertilizers (Debiase et al., 2018).

To manage pests and diseases without chemical pesticides, organic farmers employ biological pest control methods like insects, crop diversification, and natural predators(Tscharntke et al., 2021). Additionally, they focus on conserving pollinators and protecting habitats to support biodiversity.

By reducing the use of chemicals in agriculture, organic farming systems contribute to our food systems' resilience while prioritizing soil health. This benefits water retention, nutrient availability, and overall crop productivity. Furthermore, organic practices play a role in minimizing soil erosion conserving water resources, and ensuring the long-term viability of agricultural lands.

- **Precision Farming**

Precision farming, also called precision agriculture or site-specific management, is an approach that harnesses advanced technologies to optimize the usage of inputs and enhance efficiency in agricultural practices (Zhang, 2016). It involves the application of resources like water, fertilizers, and pesticides based on real-time data and specific conditions at each location.

The success of precision farming relies heavily on cutting-edge technologies such as positioning systems (GPS), remote sensing, geographic information systems (GIS), and sensors. These tools collect data. Monitor crop performance to enable farmers to make informed decisions regarding irrigation, fertilization, and pest control (Higgins et al., 2019). This data-driven approach minimizes the risks associated with either overusing or underusing resources.

ISSN: 2658-8455
Volume 4, Issue 4-1 (2023), pp. 750-772.

IJAFAME
International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management & Economics

The advantages of precision farming are manifold. Firstly, it enhances resource efficiency by applying inputs only where they are genuinely required (Publications Office of the European Union, 2017). This optimizes resource utilization while minimizing waste and reducing environmental impact through nutrient runoff or groundwater contamination.
Secondly, precision farming enables the surveillance of crop health by facilitating the early detection of diseases, nutrient deficiencies, or pest infestations (Ghafar et al., 2023). With this information, farmers can implement targeted interventions instead of resorting to indiscriminate use of pesticides or fertilizers.
Lastly, precision farming empowers decision-making by providing insights into crop variability and field conditions (Zhang, 2016). This comprehensive understanding allows farmers to make informed choices about their crops based on specific circumstances.
Farmers can use this knowledge to adjust their management practices, resulting in better crop yields, efficient resource allocation, and increased farm profitability.

2.1.3 Climate-Smart Agriculture

Climate-smart farming is a groundbreaking approach to agriculture that focuses on tackling the challenges presented by climate change. It combines strategies and technologies to improve agricultural productivity, increase resilience, and lower greenhouse gas emissions (Kakamoukas et al., 2021). This transformative method incorporates conservation agriculture, agroecology, and integrated pest management.

- **Conservation Agriculture**

Conservation agriculture is an approach that aims to increase agricultural productivity while minimizing harm to the environment (Hobbs et al., 2008). It revolves around three principles: minimizing soil disturbance, keeping the soil covered at all times, and diversifying crop rotations. These principles work together to improve soil health, conserve water and adapt to climate change (Farooq & Siddique, 2015).
By reducing or eliminating tillage, conservation agriculture helps maintain the structure of the soil and prevents erosion (Roose & Barthès, 2001). This is especially important in dealing with climate-related challenges like rainfall or droughts(Pretty & Bharucha, 2014). By ensuring there is always a cover on the soil through cover crops or crop residues, erosion is further prevented, and moisture retention in the soil is enhanced. Additionally, this practice encourages the growth of microorganisms in the soil.
Diversifying crop rotations within conservation agriculture helps break cycles of pests and diseases(Pittelkow et al., 2015). It also improves cycling and strengthens overall resilience within agricultural ecosystems. Farmers can reduce their dependence on synthetic fertilizers to control pests and promote biodiversity by growing various crops with nutrient needs and growth patterns.
Another benefit of conservation agriculture is its contribution to mitigating climate change by sequestering carbon in the soil. The increased organic matter content from reduced tillage and raised crop residue cover aids in carbon sequestration. This helps offset greenhouse gas emissions and contributes to combating climate change.

- **Agroecology**

Agroecology represents an approach to farming that aims to optimize the interactions among plants, animals, humans, and the environment (Snapp, 2017). Its focus lies in applying principles to design and manage agricultural systems that are both productive and sustainable for the environment.
Practices in agroecology involve diversifying crops and livestock, integrating trees and agroforestry systems, and utilizing natural ecological processes for pest and disease control

(Altieri, 2002). These practices have benefits, including promoting biodiversity, improving soil fertility, conserving water resources, and reducing reliance on external inputs.
By diversifying crops and livestock in systems, they become more resilient to climate change by minimizing the risk of crop failure while enhancing nutrient cycling (Kerr et al., 2018). Additionally, mixed cropping techniques or intercropping methods can help mitigate the impact of weather events, pests, and diseases since different crops have varying tolerances and requirements.
Integrating trees within ecosystems brings numerous advantages, such as providing shade and windbreaks while contributing to nutrient cycling and biodiversity enhancement. Agroforestry systems that combine crops with trees create synergistic relationships that boost productivity levels while aiding in soil conservation efforts and mitigating climate change effects.
Furthermore, agroecology prioritizes utilizing processes for pest management instead of relying heavily on chemical interventions(Altieri, 2002). Techniques like biological control methods or implementing crop rotation strategies alongside preserving habitats for insects and predators play a vital role in managing pests effectively while maintaining ecological balance.
These techniques help decrease the need for pesticides, limit environmental harm, and preserve the equilibrium of beneficial organisms in the ecosystem.

- **Integrated Pest Management**

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) is an approach that focuses on pest control by combining various strategies to minimize the impact on the environment while effectively managing pests (Dara, 2019). It incorporates methods such as biological control, cultural practices using pest-resistant crop varieties, and careful use of pesticides (Heimpel & Cock, 2018).
IPM encourages measures to prevent and address pest problems instead of relying solely on reactive pesticide applications. Cultural practices like crop rotation, maintaining cleanliness, and planting crop varieties are employed to disrupt the life cycles of pests and reduce their populations(Singh & D, 2016). These practices enhance the ability of crops to withstand pest attacks and decrease the reliance on chemical interventions.
In IPM, biological control plays a role by utilizing natural enemies such as predators, parasites or beneficial microorganisms to suppress pest populations(Hajek & Eilenberg, 2018). This approach helps balance pests and their natural adversaries while minimizing the need for pesticides. It also contributes to preserving biodiversity in ecosystems.
The responsible use of pesticides is an aspect of IPM. Applying them indiscriminately, IPM emphasizes targeted and selective use based on factors like pest thresholds, timing considerations, and appropriate application methods. This pesticide approach minimizes residues in food and environmental pollution (Kunjwal & Srivastava, 2018). The effectiveness of pesticides is preserved by mitigating the risk of pest resistance.

2.1.4 Technological Innovations

Technological innovations, also known as Agri Tech, have brought about a new era of advancements in farming methods. It focuses on enhancing the efficiency and sustainability of practices ranging from precision farming to managing the supply chain. This revolutionary approach incorporates cutting-edge technologies like sensing, drones, IoT (Internet of Things), and blockchain. These advancements in Agri Tech have the potential to transform the sector, ensuring a more resilient and productive future for both the industry and global food security.

- **Remote Sensing**

Remote sensing is a technology that allows us to gather information about objects or areas without physically touching them (Campbell & Wynne, 2011). It involves using sensors like satellites, aircraft, or drones to collect data on land cover, vegetation health, soil moisture, and

other important factors (Jones & Vaughan, 2010). This valuable data helps farmers and researchers make decisions and build more resilient agricultural systems.
In agriculture, remote sensing plays a role in monitoring crops' health, detecting diseases or nutrient deficiencies, and assessing water stress (Virnodkar et al., 2020). Farmers can pinpoint areas in their fields that need attention by analyzing the data collected through remote sensing. This allows them to optimize irrigation schedules and apply fertilizers with precision. The early detection of crop stress or disease outbreaks also enables interventions that reduce yield losses. Precision agriculture benefits greatly from sensing as it provides detailed data that helps create accurate maps of field variability (Sishodia et al., 2020). With this information, farmers can customize their management practices based on areas within their fields. This means they can adjust input application rates according to field conditions. By optimizing resource allocation based on site conditions, remote sensing contributes to increased efficiency and sustainability in agricultural production.

- **Drones**

Drones, also known as aerial vehicles (UAVs) have gained popularity in agriculture due to their versatility and cost-effectiveness. Equipped with a range of sensors, cameras and imaging systems, drones are tools for collecting and analyzing data in agricultural applications (Shelare et al., 2021).
In agriculture, drones find applications such as crop monitoring, field mapping, and pest detection. By capturing resolution aerial imagery, drones enable farmers to evaluate crop health, identify stress areas, and monitor crop growth throughout the season (Reddy Maddikunta et al., 2021). This real-time information helps in issue detection and allows for targeted interventions. Additionally, drones prove beneficial in generating field maps and 3D models that contribute to precision agriculture practices (Tsouros et al., 2019). These maps offer insights into soil variability, topography, and drainage patterns, aiding farmers in optimizing their field management strategies. Moreover, thermal cameras equipped with drones can detect variations in crop water stress. Identify areas susceptible to pests or diseases.
Incorporating drones in agriculture reduces the time and labor required for field monitoring and data collection. They provide a cost-efficient means of gathering valuable information that empowers farmers to make well-informed decisions while enhancing the resilience of their agricultural operations.

- **Internet of Things (IoT)**

The Internet of Things (IoT) refers to a network of devices, sensors, and systems that are interconnected and capable of communication and data sharing. In agriculture, IoT enhances our food systems' efficiency, productivity, and resilience (Rose & Chilvers, 2018).
IoT devices like soil moisture sensors, weather stations, and automated irrigation systems have become tools in agriculture. They enable farmers to monitor conditions and crop status in real-time (Cai, 2012). Farmers can make informed decisions regarding irrigation scheduling, fertilization practices, and pest management with these devices collecting and transmitting data. This utilization of real-time information allows for optimized resource usage leading to water efficiency, reduced input costs, and increased crop productivity.
One significant advantage IoT offers is its ability to facilitate precision agriculture through data integration from sources. By combining data from soil sensors, weather stations, and satellite imagery analysis techniques can gain an understanding of field conditions, including variability. This integrated data empowers farmers with site management practices tailored to address the unique needs within different areas of their fields.
Moreover, IoT technology enables automation across agricultural processes such as irrigation control systems, livestock monitoring solutions, and crop harvesting mechanisms (Rehman et

al., 2022). Automated systems are designed to respond to environmental conditions or preset parameters, ensuring timely interventions that are both accurate and efficient.
This automated system helps decrease the need for labor, enhances operations' effectiveness, and strengthens agricultural systems' overall durability.

- **Blockchain**

The use of technology in agriculture has attracted attention due to its potential to improve transparency, traceability, and trust in the food supply chain. Blockchain is a decentralized ledger recording and verifying transactions involving multiple participants. In agriculture, blockchain can effectively document information at every stage of the supply chain, from the farm to the end consumer (Prashar et al., 2020).
One of the advantages of blockchain is that it provides farmers, processors, distributors, and consumers with access to reliable and transparent information about the origin, production methods, and quality of agricultural products (Xu et al., 2020). This transparency fosters trust among stakeholders while reducing the possibility of fraud or misrepresentation within the food system. Consumers are empowered to make informed choices while ensuring fair compensation for farmers.
Furthermore, blockchain technology facilitates traceability by identifying and locating any issues that may arise regarding foodborne outbreaks or product recalls (Rejeb et al., 2020). By isolating affected products through effective tracking mechanisms enabled by blockchain, we can enhance overall food safety measures while minimizing disruptions across the supply chain.
In addition to its transparency benefits, blockchain also supports smart contract implementation and secure digital payments within agriculture (Shao et al., 2022). These advancements enable secure transactions among various parties involved in farming activities. Ultimately this leads to efficiency levels and reduced transaction costs for all stakeholders involved. The agricultural industry can create more transparent food systems by utilizing blockchain technology. This will promote trust, fair trade and enhance traceability throughout the food production journey from the farm to consumers' plates.

2.1.5 Local Food Systems and Shorter Supply Chains

Local food systems and shorter supply chains, like community-supported agriculture (CSA) and farmers' markets, strengthen food systems' resilience. These approaches encourage food production, decrease reliance on long-distance transportation, and create relationships between farmers and consumers. By supporting local farmers, promoting practices, and fostering community involvement, these initiatives ensure access to food, preserve the environment and build social connections within the more extensive food system amid challenges and disruptions.

- **Community-Supported Agriculture (CSA)**

Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) is a model that allows consumers to establish a connection with local farmers (Sharp et al., 2002). They can do this by purchasing shares or subscriptions in advance, which grants them access to a supply of seasonal products straight from the farm. One of the benefits of CSA is that it promotes supply chains. This means the food doesn't have to travel distances or go through intermediaries before reaching consumers.
There are advantages to embracing CSA when it comes to building food systems. Firstly, it provides farmers with a market enabling them to plan and manage their production more effectively. Farmers gain security by receiving consumer payments and can focus on sustainable and diversified farming practices instead of being solely dependent on market fluctuations.
From a consumer's perspective, CSA offers access to food grown locally while fostering a sense of connection with the farm and farming community (Sumner et al., 2010). Engaging with

farmers allows consumers to learn about their production methods and develop an understanding of the food they consume. This direct relationship enhances trust, transparency, and accountability within our food system.
Moreover, CSA contributes to sustainability efforts by reducing carbon emissions associated with long-distance transportation and minimizing packaging waste. Since the produce is harvested at its peak freshness, during CSA programs, it often requires packaging materials and preservation techniques compared to products found in markets.

- **Farmers' Markets**

Farmers markets link farmers and consumers, allowing farmers to sell their produce directly to the public (Kirwan, 2006). These markets offer an accessible platform for food systems and shorter supply chains as consumers can buy fresh, locally grown food straight from the farmers themselves (Brown, 2001).
Farmers' markets' role in enhancing food systems' resilience is crucial. Firstly, these markets provide small-scale farmers with a marketing channel that helps them earn a price for their products and maintain economic viability. By bypassing middlemen, farmers have control over pricing, which reduces the risk of price fluctuations and ensures a sustainable income(Warsaw et al., 2021).
Furthermore, farmers' markets promote community engagement and social interaction. They serve as gathering places where farmers, consumers, and local artisans can connect, share knowledge and build relationships (Warsaw et al., 2021). This social element cultivates a sense of community while strengthening the bond between consumers and the food they enjoy.
From a consumer perspective, farmers' markets grant access to various locally produced foods. This supports eating habits while promoting biodiversity and fostering an appreciation for culinary traditions. Consumers can converse with farmers to learn about their production methods and make choices based on their preferences and values.
In addition, farmers' markets play a role in supporting the growth of local communities by ensuring that money stays within the area. The income generated from sales not benefits the farmers themselves but also supports local businesses, ultimately contributing to the overall well-being of the local economy.

2.1.6 Food Waste Reduction and Circular Economy

Reducing food waste and transitioning to a circular economy is crucial for creating sustainable food systems. Improving storage, distribution, and educating consumers is essential to prevent waste in the supply chain. Additionally, utilizing food processing technologies reduces waste and promotes a circular economy by making the most available resources. These strategies collectively contribute to resource management, minimize impact and make the food system more efficient and resilient.

- **Improved Storage and Distribution Systems**

Efficient storage and distribution systems play a role in tackling food waste across the supply chain (Chaboud & Daviron, 2017). Managing inventory monitors in real-time and utilizing technologies like innovative tracking systems and IoT devices is essential. These measures help minimize delays prevent product deterioration, and ensure delivery. Additionally, improving storage facilities such as cold storage solutions can help preserve the quality of foods and extend their shelf life (Schanes et al., 2018). This reduces the likelihood of spoilage and waste before these items reach consumers. Households can also reduce food waste by adopting shopping habits and proper food storage techniques (Ananda et al., 2023). Simple practices like creating shopping lists, planning meals, and understanding how to store types of foods can make a difference.

Moreover, restaurants and retailers have a role to play in curbing food waste. They can advise customers on storing food through packaging labels or even offer creative recipe ideas for using produce. Removing "Best before" date labels from fruits and vegetables is another step towards reducing waste. Lastly, effective management of the cold chain system also contributes to minimizing food waste by prolonging the shelf life of products (Parry et al., 2015).

- **Consumer Education**

Consumer education plays a role in addressing the issue of food waste (Halloran et al., 2014). Many individuals are unaware of the outcomes associated with wasting food and lack knowledge regarding proper storage, meal planning, and portion control. Therefore, educating consumers about the value of food and offering tips on minimizing waste can significantly impact (Derqui et al., 2018).

Educational campaigns can focus on increasing awareness about the consequences of food waste, promoting mindful consumption habits, and providing guidance on effective storage techniques and proper handling of food (Pinto et al., 2018). It is essential to emphasize the significance of meal planning, understanding expiration dates, and utilizing leftovers to empower consumers to make choices and reduce food waste in their daily lives.

Furthermore, by promoting a circular economy and highlighting the importance of reducing waste across the entire food system, consumers will be aware of the need to prioritize sustainability and contribute towards building a more resilient and efficient food system.

- **Innovative Food Processing Technologies**

Innovative food processing technologies offer opportunities for reducing food waste by transforming surplus or imperfect food into valuable products (Al-Obadi et al., 2022). These technologies can help divert food that would otherwise go to waste and convert it into marketable goods, contributing to a circular economy approach.

One example of innovative food processing technology is food dehydration. Dehydrating surplus fruits and vegetables preserves their nutritional value and extends their shelf life(Yadav & Singh, 2014). The resulting dried products can be used in various food applications, such as snacks, baking ingredients, or flavorings, reducing waste and adding value to the food supply chain.

Additionally, advances in food preservation techniques, such as high-pressure processing or modified atmosphere packaging, can extend the shelf life of perishable foods without compromising quality, reducing the likelihood of waste in retail and consumer settings(Czerwiński et al., 2021).

By implementing innovative food processing technologies, the food industry can optimize resource utilization, minimize waste generation, and create new market opportunities for food products that would otherwise be discarded.

2.1.7 Social and Economic Innovations

Social and economic innovations are vital in establishing robust and adaptable food systems. By embracing these innovations, stakeholders can work towards creating an equitable, sustainable, and resilient food system in the face of uncertainties.

- **Fair Trade**

Fair trade is an approach that aims to promote fairness and sustainability in global trade both socially and economically. It focuses on ensuring fair prices, decent working conditions and community development for farmers and workers in developing nations (Elad, 2013). When products are certified as fair-trade, it guarantees that they have been produced and traded under equitable conditions.

Accordingly, fair trade benefits the creation of resilient food systems (van Berkum, 2021). Firstly, it provides farmers and producers with reasonable product prices, creating a sustainable income while reducing their vulnerability to market fluctuations(Waarts et al., 2021). This economic stability allows farmers to invest in their farms, improve productivity and enhance their ability to tackle challenges like climate change or market volatility.
Additionally, fair trade places importance on social and environmental standards. It encourages farming practices prohibiting harmful chemicals and promoting biodiversity conservation (Pokharel et al., 2023). By prioritizing stewardship, fair trade contributes to long-term sustainability and resilience within agriculture.
Furthermore, fair trade fosters community development by supporting education, healthcare initiatives, and infrastructure projects within producer communities. This investment in development strengthens the fabric of these communities and enhances their overall resilience.

- **Farmer Cooperatives**

Farmer cooperatives are organizations established by farmers to work together to market and sell their products, negotiate for better prices, access resources, and share knowledge (USDA, 2015). These cooperatives empower farmers by increasing their bargaining power and a collective voice within the market.
By combining their resources and taking advantage of economies of scale, farmer cooperatives can access markets that would otherwise be difficult for small-scale farmers (Islam et al., 2015). They can negotiate prices reduce transaction costs, and improve market access for all their members. This economic empowerment strengthens the resilience of small-scale farmers by offering them income opportunities and reducing their vulnerability to market fluctuations.
Furthermore, farmer cooperatives foster knowledge-sharing and capacity-building among their members. Farmers can exchange information learn from each other's experiences, and gain access to training programs and technical support. This collective learning contributes to adopting improved practices, greater productivity levels, and enhanced resilience in addressing challenges such as climate change or pest outbreaks.

- **Inclusive Practices**

Inclusive practices acknowledge the value of perspectives and address social, economic, and gender inequalities by ensuring everyone, including marginalized groups, has access to resources, opportunities, and decision-making (Cabeza-García et al., 2018).
By promoting inclusivity, the food system becomes more substantial and adaptable as it taps into the potential and expertise of all stakeholders. Including marginalized groups like small-scale farmers, women farmers, indigenous communities, and rural youth promotes social justice and enhances agricultural diversity, knowledge sharing, and innovation(Bizikova et al., 2020).
There are ways to embrace inclusivity in practice. These can include offering capacity-building programs that cater to the needs of different groups, ensuring equal access to land and resources for everyone involved in agriculture, promoting gender equality, empowering women, and facilitating meaningful participation from marginalized communities in decision-making processes.

2.2 Theoretical Model

Drawing from the insights of the literature review, we can formulate a theoretical model that includes key variables for achieving food system resilience. The model consists of a Dependent Variable—Food System Resilience—and a range of Independent Variables including Diversification of Food Sources (Variable 1), Sustainable Farming Techniques (Variable 2), Climate-Smart Agriculture (Variable 3), Technological Innovations (Variable 4), Local Food

Systems and Shorter Supply Chains (Variable 5), Food Waste Reduction and Circular Economy (Variable 6), and Social and Economic Innovations (Variable 7).

Within this framework, we propose hypotheses highlighting the interconnections between these variables in shaping food system resilience. Firstly, we suggest that the Diversification of Food Sources (Variable 1) leads to increased biodiversity, optimized resource utilization, and improved climate change mitigation. These combined benefits ultimately strengthen the ability of the food system to adapt and withstand shocks. Secondly, implementing Sustainable Farming Techniques (Variable 2) contribute to the health of the soil, preservation of biodiversity, efficient use of resources, and ecological sustainability. This strengthens the long-term resilience and viability of the food production system. Thirdly, integrating Climate Smart Agriculture (Variable 3) is believed to address climate-related challenges while increasing agricultural productivity and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. These measures collectively enhance the resilience of the food system.

Moreover, incorporating Technological Innovations (Variable 4) improves efficiency, precision, and sustainability throughout the supply chain. It marks a shift towards a resilient and productive food system. Additionally, advocating for Local Food Systems and Shorter Supply Chains (Variable 5) reduces reliance on transportation while fostering community engagement. This elevates the resilience of the food system against disruptions. Furthermore, strategies focused on reducing food waste and promoting a circular economy (Variable 6) are expected to impact resource management and efficiency within the food system positively. This can be achieved through storage, distribution, and innovative food processing technologies. Lastly, incorporating economic innovations (Variable 7) is projected to create a resilient food system that can navigate uncertainties and challenges by involving the community and implementing sustainable practices. These variables together form a foundation for enhancing the food system's resilience within our proposed theoretical model.

This theoretical model suggests that food systems can be strengthened and more resilient by implementing the various approaches mentioned in the literature review. The combination between diversification, sustainable practices, climate-smart techniques, technological advancements, localized systems, waste reduction, and social-economic innovations collectively contributes to the overall resilience of the food system, enabling it to adapt to various challenges and disruptions. However, although innovative methods have significant potential for strengthening food system resilience, their effectiveness relies on the capacity to address challenges, make tradeoffs, and ensure scalability and adaptability. These considerations will be explored in the following section.

3. Discussion and Analysis

The discussion and analysis underscore inventive approaches' impact in bolstering food systems' durability. These approaches present chances to tackle sustainability, efficient use of resources ensuring access to food, and promoting fairness in society. However, it is essential to consider the tradeoffs, difficulties, and requirements for scalability and adaptability.

3.1 Effectiveness of Innovative Measures

The paper discusses innovative approaches that have shown promising results in improving the resilience of food systems. These approaches include diversifying food sources, implementing farming techniques, adopting climate-smart agriculture practices, leveraging technological advancements, promoting local food systems reducing food waste, and introducing social and economic innovations.

By implementing these measures, we can address challenges faced by our food system, such as environmental sustainability, efficient resource utilization, ensuring food security, and promoting social equity. They provide opportunities to expand the variety of foods produced,

ISSN: 2658-8455
Volume 4, Issue 4-1 (2023), pp. 750-772.

optimize resource usage, minimize wastage, and strengthen economies while empowering farmers and communities.

Numerous studies and practical applications have demonstrated the outcomes associated with these innovative measures. For instance, agroforestry systems have proven to enhance soil fertility and biodiversity preservation while increasing resilience to climate variations. Sustainable farming techniques like agriculture and precision farming have showcased improved soil health preservation, water conservation practices, and increased productivity. Technological innovations such as sensing and the Internet of Things (IoT) have facilitated precise monitoring and data-driven decision-making processes leading to optimal resource management and improved yields.

Additionally, embracing food systems with shorter supply chains has exhibited benefits such as reduced environmental impact and improved consumer access to fresh, nutritious foods while fostering more robust community engagement. Implementing strategies for reducing food waste has proven effective in minimizing harvest losses, thereby enhancing resource efficiency while addressing food security concerns.

Social and economic advancements, like the practice of trade and the formation of farmer cooperatives, have given farmers more power, improved their ability to reach markets, and positively impacted the overall welfare of farming communities. In general, these innovative approaches can strengthen food systems by tackling aspects of sustainability and establishing more effective, fair, and adaptable systems.

3.2 Potential Tradeoffs and Challenges

Although innovative measures hold promise, it is important to consider the tradeoffs and challenges they present. For example, implementing technologies or practices often requires upfront investments, technical expertise, and supportive infrastructure. This can be particularly challenging for small-scale farmers or regions with resources hindering the widespread adoption of these innovations.

Furthermore, there can be a balance between different sustainability goals. While vertical farming and hydroponics offer the utilization of space and resources, they often rely on energy-intensive artificial lighting and nutrient solutions. It is crucial to weigh the benefits against these drawbacks to ensure sustainability.

Moreover, ensuring the scalability of measures can be quite demanding. What may work effectively in a pilot project may encounter obstacles when implemented on a larger scale or in diverse geographical contexts. Factors such as climate conditions, cultural practices, and policy frameworks greatly influence the scalability and replicability of such innovations.

Social and economic innovations, like trade and farmer cooperatives, face challenges related to market access, certification processes, and fair price negotiations. It is vital to prioritize transparency, accountability, and equitable distribution of benefits throughout the supply chain to ensure these practices' effectiveness and long-term sustainability.

3.3 Scalability and Adaptability

It is crucial to consider scalability and adaptability to ensure that innovative measures have an impact. Expanding initiatives and customizing them for various contexts and stakeholders is essential for wide acceptance and long-term sustainability.

Scaling up can be facilitated through knowledge sharing, capacity building, and supportive policies. We can spread best practices and valuable lessons by establishing communication channels and networks that connect farmers, researchers, policymakers, and consumers. Targeted investments, technical assistance, and supportive regulations play a role in expanding successful initiatives.

Moreover, adaptability is vital to ensure the relevance and efficacy of measures in different situations. When implementing these measures, it's important to consider socioeconomic conditions, cultural practices, and ecological characteristics. We can tailor approaches to local needs and aspirations by embracing flexibility and customization options. This approach increases the chances of achieving implementation.

Additionally, continuous monitoring, evaluation processes, and feedback loops are crucial for assessing the outcomes and impact of measures. These iterative processes help refine strategies while optimizing resource allocation for a more effective food system with enhanced resilience. Addressing challenges related to adoption barriers or potential tradeoffs requires efforts involving farmers, researchers, policymakers, and consumers.

By encouraging the exchange of knowledge investing in resources implementing supportive policies, and adapting to changing circumstances, we can unlock the potential of these innovative measures. This will enable us to create food systems that are not only resilient and sustainable but also inclusive enough to tackle future challenges effectively.

4. Conclusion, practical application, and future research

This research paper examined a range of measures to strengthen our food systems' resilience. We have uncovered several essential findings through analysis and discussion of different approaches.

Firstly, by diversifying our food sources through practices like agroforestry systems, vertical farming, and hydroponics, we can create opportunities to improve food production while optimizing resource usage and minimizing impacts. These sustainable practices contribute to the resilience of our food system.

Secondly, sustainable farming techniques such as agriculture organic farming and precision farming have shown promise in enhancing soil health, conserving water resources, and increasing productivity. By adopting these methods, farmers can improve their ability to adapt to changing conditions while reducing costs and mitigating the effects of climate change.

Thirdly, embracing climate agriculture practices such as conservation agriculture, agroecology, and integrated pest management allows us to incorporate ecological principles into our agricultural systems. These approaches promote resilience by reducing input dependence, enhancing ecosystem services, and building natural resistance against pests and diseases.

Lastly, technological advancements, including sensing technologies, drone usage for monitoring purposes, IoT (Internet of Things) applications, and blockchain innovations, hold immense potential for transforming the agricultural sector.

These technologies allow for real-time monitoring, data-based decision-making, and the ability to track and be transparent about our actions. This leads to the management of resources, optimizing yields, and making supply chains more efficient.

Furthermore, we can promote sustainable food production by embracing food systems and shorter supply chains through practices like urban agriculture, community-supported agriculture (CSA), and farmers' markets. This approach also helps reduce emissions from transportation, supports economies, and encourages community involvement.

In addition to these efforts, we can make a difference by implementing measures to reduce food waste. This includes improving storage and distribution systems, educating consumers on handling food items, and adopting innovative technologies for processing food. These steps contribute to resource efficiency while addressing concerns about food security. They also align with the principles of an economy.

Moreover, focusing on economic innovations such as fair-trade practices promoting farmer cooperatives, and ensuring inclusive practices within agricultural communities is crucial. These approaches empower farmers by providing them with opportunities in the market while promoting social justice. Ultimately, they contribute to building farming communities.

ISSN: 2658-8455
Volume 4, Issue 4-1 (2023), pp. 750-772.

The findings of this study have implications for practical application:
Knowledge Sharing: Extension services, research institutions, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) should prioritize sharing knowledge and assisting farmers. This will help facilitate the adoption of approaches.
Collaboration and Partnerships: Stakeholders across the food system should include farmers, researchers, policymakers, and consumers. Form partnerships. This will enable them to share expertise, resources, and best practices.
Capacity Building: Training programs and workshops should be organized to enhance the skills and knowledge of farmers and practitioners in implementing measures.
Access to Markets: Initiatives that support trade practices, farmer cooperatives, and short supply chains should be encouraged. These initiatives aim to ensure market access for small-scale farmers while strengthening local food systems.
By implementing these recommendations, policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders can collaboratively build resilient food systems that are sustainable, inclusive, and better prepared to face future challenges.
To further enhance our understanding and implementation of strategies to improve the resilience of our food systems, there are a few key areas that require future research;
1. Long-term impact assessment: It is crucial to conduct studies that evaluate the long-term effects of innovative measures on environmental sustainability, social equity, economic viability, and overall resilience of our food systems.
2. Comparative analysis: We should undertake studies to assess the effectiveness and tradeoffs associated with different innovative measures. This analysis should consider geographical contexts, socioeconomic conditions, and farming systems.
3. Evaluation of policies: It is important to evaluate existing policy frameworks and regulatory environments to determine what is needed to adopt innovative measures. This evaluation will help identify barriers and opportunities for scaling up successful initiatives.
4. Knowledge sharing and capacity building: Research should focus on identifying approaches for disseminating, building capacities, and transferring technology to empower farmers and stakeholders with the skills and knowledge necessary for adopting and implementing innovative measures.
5. Participatory approaches: Engaging farmers, communities, and relevant stakeholders in designing and implementing innovative measures are essential. This ensures that these measures are appropriate, accepted by all parties involved, and have long-term sustainability.
By delving into these areas through research activities, we can further advance our understanding of food system resilience while fostering the implementation of innovations.

References

(1). Al-Kodmany, K. (2018). The Vertical Farm: A Review of Developments and Implications for the Vertical City. *Buildings*, *8*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings8020024

(2). Al-Obadi, M., Ayad, H., Pokharel, S., & Ayari, M. A. (2022). Perspectives on food waste management: Prevention and social innovations. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, *31*, 190–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2022.02.012

(3). Altieri, M. A. (2002). Agroecology: The science of natural resource management for poor farmers in marginal environments. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *93*(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(02)00085-3

(4). Ananda, J., Karunasena, G. G., & Pearson, D. (2023). A comparison of online and in-store grocery shopping behaviour and its effects on household food waste. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *194*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122698

(5). Arora, N. K., & Mishra, I. (2022). Current scenario and future directions for sustainable development goal 2: A roadmap to zero hunger. *Environmental Sustainability*, *5*(2), 129–133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42398-022-00235-8

(6). Banerjee, C., & Adenaeuer, L. (2014). Up, Up and Away! The Economics of Vertical Farming. *Journal of Agricultural Studies*, *2*(1), 40. https://doi.org/10.5296/jas.v2i1.4526

(7). Béné, C. (2020). Resilience of local food systems and links to food security – A review of some important concepts in the context of COVID-19 and other shocks. *Food Security*, *12*(4), 805–822. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-020-01076-1

(8). Bizikova, L., Nkonya, E., Minah, M., Hanisch, M., Turaga, R. M. R., Speranza, C. I., Karthikeyan, M., Tang, L., Ghezzi-Kopel, K., Kelly, J., Celestin, A. C., & Timmers, B. (2020). A scoping review of the contributions of farmers' organizations to smallholder agriculture. *Nature Food*, *1*(10), Article 10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-00164-x

(9). Bowles, T. M., Mooshammer, M., Socolar, Y., Calderón, F., Cavigelli, M. A., Culman, S. W., Deen, W., Drury, C. F., Garcia y Garcia, A., Gaudin, A. C. M., Harkcom, W. S., Lehman, R. M., Osborne, S. L., Robertson, G. P., Salerno, J., Schmer, M. R., Strock, J., & Grandy, A. S. (2020). Long-Term Evidence Shows that Crop-Rotation Diversification Increases Agricultural Resilience to Adverse Growing Conditions in North America. *One Earth*, *2*(3), 284–293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2020.02.007

(10). Bridgewood, L. (2008). *Hydroponics: Soilless gardening explained*. Crowood Press.

(11). Brown, A. (2001). Counting Farmers Markets. *Geographical Review*, *91*(4), 655–674. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1931-0846.2001.tb00246.x

(12). Cabeza-García, L., Del Brio, E. B., & Oscanoa-Victorio, M. L. (2018). Gender Factors and Inclusive Economic Growth: The Silent Revolution. *Sustainability*, *10*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010121

(13). Cai, K. (2012). *Internet of Things technology applied in field information monitoring*. *4*, 405–414. https://doi.org/10.4156/AISS.vol4.issue12.46

(14). Campbell, J. B., & Wynne, R. H. (2011). *Introduction to Remote Sensing, Fifth Edition*. Guilford Press.

(15). Caron, P., Daguet, E., & Dury, S. (2023). The Global Food System is Not Broken but Its Resilience is Threatened. In *Resilience and Food Security in a Food Systems Context* (pp. 53–79). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-23535-1_3

(16). Chaboud, G., & Daviron, B. (2017). Food losses and waste: Navigating the inconsistencies. *Global Food Security*, *12*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2016.11.004

(17). Clough, Y., Barkmann, J., Juhrbandt, J., Kessler, M., Wanger, T. C., Anshary, A., Buchori, D., Cicuzza, D., Darras, K., Putra, D. D., Erasmi, S., Pitopang, R., Schmidt, C., Schulze, C. H., Seidel, D., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Stenchly, K., Vidal, S., Weist, M., … Tscharntke, T. (2011). Combining high biodiversity with high yields in tropical agroforests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *108*(20), 8311–8316. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1016799108

(18). Czerwiński, K., Rydzkowski, T., Wróblewska-Krepsztul, J., & Thakur, V. K. (2021). Towards Impact of Modified Atmosphere Packaging (MAP) on Shelf-Life of Polymer-Film-Packed Food Products: Challenges and Sustainable Developments. *Coatings*, *11*(12), Article 12. https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings11121504

(19). Dara, S. K. (2019). The New Integrated Pest Management Paradigm for the Modern Age. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management*, *10*(1), 12. https://doi.org/10.1093/jipm/pmz010

(20). Debiase, G., Traversa, A., Montemurro, F., Mastrangelo, M., Fiore, A., Ventrella, G., & Brunetti, G. (2018). Minimum tillage and organic fertilization for the sustainable management of Brassica carinata A. (Braun) in the Mediterranean environment. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *25*(33), 33556–33565. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3244-z

(21). Derqui, B., Fernandez, V., & Fayos, T. (2018). Towards more sustainable food systems. Addressing food waste at school canteens. *Appetite*, *129*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2018.06.022

(22). Elad, C. (2013). Fair Trade. In *Encyclopedia of Corporate Social Responsibility* (pp. 1129–1135). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28036-8_362

(23). Farooq, M., & Siddique, K. H. M. (2015). Conservation Agriculture: Concepts, Brief History, and Impacts on Agricultural Systems. In *Conservation Agriculture* (pp. 3–17). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11620-4_1

(24). Friedman, R. S., England, R. M., Ricketts, K. D., van Wensveen, M., Lim-Camacho, L., & Crimp, S. (2022). Scanning Models of Food Systems Resilience in the Indo-Pacific Region. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *6*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2022.714881

(25). Ghafar, A. S. A., Hajjaj, S. S. H., Gsangaya, K. R., Sultan, M. T. H., Mail, M. F., & Hua, L. S. (2023). Design and development of a robot for spraying fertilizers and pesticides for agriculture. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, *81*, 242–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.03.174

(26). Gomiero, T., Pimentel, D., & Paoletti, M. G. (2011). Environmental Impact of Different Agricultural Management Practices: Conventional vs. Organic Agriculture. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, *30*(1–2), 95–124. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2011.554355

(27). Gosnell, H., Gill, N., & Voyer, M. (2019). Transformational adaptation on the farm: Processes of change and persistence in transitions to 'climate-smart' regenerative agriculture. *Global Environmental Change*, *59*, 101965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.101965

(28). Grant, S. (2017). *Organizing Alternative Food Futures in the Peripheries of the Industrial Food System « Journal of Sustainability Education*. http://www.susted.com/wordpress/content/organizing-alternative-food-futures-in-the-peripheries-of-the-industrial-food-system_2017_05/

(29). Hajek, A. E., & Eilenberg, J. (2018). *Natural Enemies: An Introduction to Biological Control*. Cambridge University Press.

(30). Halloran, A., Clement, J., Kornum, N., Bucatariu, C., & Magid, J. (2014). Addressing food waste reduction in Denmark. *Food Policy*, *49*, 294–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2014.09.005

(31). Healy, R. G., & Rosenberg, J. S. (2013). *Land Use and the States*. Routledge.

(32). Heimpel, G. E., & Cock, M. J. W. (2018). Shifting paradigms in the history of classical biological control. *BioControl*, *63*(1), 27–37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-017-9841-9

(33). Higgins, S., Schellberg, J., & Bailey, J. S. (2019). Improving productivity and increasing the efficiency of soil nutrient management on grassland farms in the UK and Ireland using precision agriculture technology. *European Journal of Agronomy*, *106*, 67–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2019.04.001

(34). Hobbs, P. R., Sayre, K., & Gupta, R. (2008). The role of conservation agriculture in sustainable agriculture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, *363*(1491), 543–555. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2169

(35). Hoddinott, J. (2023). Food Systems, Resilience, and Their Implications for Public Action. In *Resilience and Food Security in a Food Systems Context* (pp. 185–206). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-23535-1_6

(36). Islam, S., Mazariegos, V., Nagarajan, G., & Zaman, L. (2015). *Effects of Farmer Cooperatives on Expanding Agricultural Markets in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review*. US Department of Agriculture.

(37). Jones, H. G., & Vaughan, R. A. (2010). *Remote Sensing of Vegetation: Principles, Techniques, and Applications*. OUP Oxford.

(38). Kakamoukas, G., Sarigiannidis, P., Maropoulos, A., Lagkas, T., Zaralis, K., & Karaiskou, C. (2021). Towards Climate Smart Farming—A Reference Architecture for Integrated Farming Systems. *Telecom*, *2*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/telecom2010005

(39). Kastner, R. (2016). Hope for the Future: How Farmers Can Reverse Climate Change. *Socialism and Democracy*, *30*(2), 154–170. https://doi.org/10.1080/08854300.2016.1195610

(40). Kerr, R. B., Nyantakyi-Frimpong, H., Dakishoni, L., Lupafya, E., Shumba, L., Luginaah, I., & Snapp, S. S. (2018). Knowledge politics in participatory climate change adaptation research on agroecology in Malawi. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, *33*(3), 238–251. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170518000017

(41). Kirwan, J. (2006). The interpersonal world of direct marketing: Examining conventions of quality at UK farmers' markets. *Journal of Rural Studies*, *22*(3), 301–312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2005.09.001

(42). Kunjwal, N., & Srivastava, R. M. (2018). Insect Pests of Vegetables. In *Pests and Their Management* (pp. 163–221). Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-8687-8_7

(43). LaCanne, C. E., & Lundgren, J. G. (2018). Regenerative agriculture: Merging farming and natural resource conservation profitably. *PeerJ*, *6*, e4428. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4428

(44). Marques, G., Aleixo, D., & Pitarma, R. (2019). Enhanced Hydroponic Agriculture Environmental Monitoring: An Internet of Things Approach. *Computational Science – ICCS 2019*, 658–669. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22744-9_51

(45). Meyer, M. A. (2020). The role of resilience in food system studies in low- and middle-income countries. *Global Food Security*, *24*, 100356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100356
(46). Parry, A., James, K., & LeRoux, S. (2015). *Strategies to Achieve Economic and Environmental Gains by Reducing Food Waste*. Waste and Resources Action Programme (WRAP).
(47). Pinto, R. S., Pinto, R. M. dos S., Melo, F. F. S., Campos, S. S., & Cordovil, C. M.-S. (2018). A simple awareness campaign to promote food waste reduction in a University canteen. *Waste Management*, *76*, 28–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.02.044
(48). Pittelkow, C. M., Liang, X., Linquist, B. A., van Groenigen, K. J., Lee, J., Lundy, M. E., van Gestel, N., Six, J., Venterea, R. T., & van Kessel, C. (2015). Productivity limits and potentials of the principles of conservation agriculture. *Nature*, *517*(7534), Article 7534. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13809
(49). Pokharel, B., Keerthi, R., & Abunamous, Z. (2023). *SUSTAINABLE CHOCOLATE PRODUCTION: FROM FARMING PRACTICES TO PACKAGING*. *05*, 2582–5208.
(50). Prashar, D., Jha, N., Jha, S., Lee, Y., & Joshi, G. P. (2020). Blockchain-Based Traceability and Visibility for Agricultural Products: A Decentralized Way of Ensuring Food Safety in India. *Sustainability*, *12*(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12083497
(51). Pretty, J., & Bharucha, Z. P. (2014). Sustainable intensification in agricultural systems. *Annals of Botany*, *114*(8), 1571–1596. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcu205
(52). Publications Office of the European Union. (2017). *Precision farming: Sowing the seeds of a new agricultural revolution*. Publications Office of the European Union. https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2830/094402
(53). Reddy Maddikunta, P. K., Hakak, S., Alazab, M., Bhattacharya, S., Gadekallu, T. R., Khan, W. Z., & Pham, Q.-V. (2021). Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in Smart Agriculture: Applications, Requirements, and Challenges. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, *21*(16), 17608–17619. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2021.3049471
(54). Rehman, A., Saba, T., Kashif, M., Fati, S. M., Bahaj, S. A., & Chaudhry, H. (2022). A Revisit of Internet of Things Technologies for Monitoring and Control Strategies in Smart Agriculture. *Agronomy*, *12*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12010127
(55). Rejeb, A., Keogh, J. G., Zailani, S., Treiblmaier, H., & Rejeb, K. (2020). Blockchain Technology in the Food Industry: A Review of Potentials, Challenges and Future Research Directions. *Logistics*, *4*(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics4040027
(56). Rhodes, C. J. (2017). The Imperative for Regenerative Agriculture. *Science Progress*, *100*(1), 80–129. https://doi.org/10.3184/003685017X14876775256165
(57). Roose, E., & Barthès, B. (2001). Organic matter management for soil conservation and productivity restoration in Africa: A contribution from Francophone research. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, *61*(1), 159–170. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013349731671
(58). Rose, D. C., & Chilvers, J. (2018). Agriculture 4.0: Broadening Responsible Innovation in an Era of Smart Farming. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *2*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2018.00087
(59). Schanes, K., Dobernig, K., & Gözet, B. (2018). Food waste matters—A systematic review of household food waste practices and their policy implications. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *182*, 978–991. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.030
(60). Schoolman, E. D. (2019). Do direct market farms use fewer agricultural chemicals? Evidence from the US census of agriculture. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, *34*(5), 415–429. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170517000758

(61). Schroth, G., Fonseca, G. A. B. da, Harvey, C. A., Gascon, C., Vasconcelos, H. L., & Izac, A.-M. N. (Eds.). (2004). *Agroforestry and Biodiversity Conservation in Tropical Landscapes* (2nd ed. edition). Island Press.

(62). Sengodan, P. (2022). An Overview of Vertical Farming: Highlighting the Potential in Malaysian High-Rise Buildings. *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, *30*(2), 949–981. https://doi.org/10.47836/pjst.30.2.06

(63). Shao, D., Kombe, C., & Saxena, S. (2022). An ensemble design of a cash crops-warehouse receipt system (WRS) based on blockchain smart contracts. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*, *ahead-of-print*(ahead-of-print). https://doi.org/10.1108/JADEE-02-2022-0032

(64). Sharp, J., Imerman, E., & Peters, G. (2002). Community Supported Agriculture (CSA): Building Community Among Farmers and Non-Farmers. *The Journal of Extension*, *40*(3). https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/joe/vol40/iss3/6

(65). Shelare, S. D., Aglawe, K. R., Waghmare, S. N., & Belkhode, P. N. (2021). Advances in water sample collections with a drone – A review. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, *47*, 4490–4494. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.05.327

(66). Singh, A. U., & D, P. (2016). Integrated Pest Management with Reference to INM. *Advances in Crop Science and Technology*, *04*(03). https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-8863.1000220

(67). Sishodia, R. P., Ray, R. L., & Singh, S. K. (2020). Applications of Remote Sensing in Precision Agriculture: A Review. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(19), Article 19. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12193136

(68). Snapp, S. (2017). Chapter 2 - Agroecology: Principles and Practice. In S. Snapp & B. Pound (Eds.), *Agricultural Systems (Second Edition)* (pp. 33–72). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-802070-8.00002-5

(69). Specht, K., Siebert, R., Hartmann, I., Freisinger, U. B., Sawicka, M., Werner, A., Thomaier, S., Henckel, D., Walk, H., & Dierich, A. (2014). Urban agriculture of the future: An overview of sustainability aspects of food production in and on buildings. *Agriculture and Human Values*, *31*(1), 33–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-013-9448-4

(70). Sumner, J., Mair, H., & Nelson, E. (2010). Putting the culture back into agriculture: Civic engagement, community and the celebration of local food. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, *8*(1–2), 54–61. https://doi.org/10.3763/ijas.2009.0454

(71). Tendall, D. M., Joerin, J., Kopainsky, B., Edwards, P., Shreck, A., Le, Q. B., Kruetli, P., Grant, M., & Six, J. (2015). Food system resilience: Defining the concept. *Global Food Security*, *6*, 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2015.08.001

(72). Touliatos, D., Dodd, I. C., & McAinsh, M. (2016). Vertical farming increases lettuce yield per unit area compared to conventional horizontal hydroponics. *Food and Energy Security*, *5*(3), 184–191. https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.83

(73). Treftz, C., & Omaye, S. T. (2016). Hydroponics: Potential for augmenting sustainable food production in non-arable regions. *Nutrition & Food Science*, *46*(5), 672–684. https://doi.org/10.1108/NFS-10-2015-0118

(74). Tscharntke, T., Grass, I., Wanger, T. C., Westphal, C., & Batáry, P. (2021). Beyond organic farming – harnessing biodiversity-friendly landscapes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *36*(10), 919–930. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.06.010

(75). Tsouros, D. C., Triantafyllou, A., Bibi, S., & Sarigannidis, P. G. (2019). Data Acquisition and Analysis Methods in UAV- based Applications for Precision Agriculture. *2019 15th International Conference on Distributed Computing in Sensor Systems (DCOSS)*, 377–384. https://doi.org/10.1109/DCOSS.2019.00080

(76). USDA, C. S. (2015). *Cooperative Benefits and Limitations* [Cooperative Information Report 1, Section 3]. United States Department of Agriculture Rural Business. https://www.rd.usda.gov/publicationforcooperatives/cir-1-section-3-cooperative-benefits-and-limitations

(77). van Berkum, S. (2021). How trade can drive inclusive and sustainable food system outcomes in food deficit low-income countries. *Food Security*, *13*(6), 1541–1554. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-021-01218-z

(78). Virnodkar, S. S., Pachghare, V. K., Patil, V. C., & Jha, S. K. (2020). Remote sensing and machine learning for crop water stress determination in various crops: A critical review. *Precision Agriculture*, *21*(5), 1121–1155. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-020-09711-9

(79). Waarts, Y. R., Janssen, V., Aryeetey, R., Onduru, D., Heriyanto, D., Aprillya, S. T., N'Guessan, A., Courbois, L., Bakker, D., & Ingram, V. J. (2021). Multiple pathways towards achieving a living income for different types of smallholder tree-crop commodity farmers. *Food Security*, *13*(6), 1467–1496. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-021-01220-5

(80). Waldron, A., Garrity, D., Malhi, Y., Girardin, C., Miller, D. C., & Seddon, N. (2017). Agroforestry Can Enhance Food Security While Meeting Other Sustainable Development Goals. *Tropical Conservation Science*, *10*, 1940082917720667. https://doi.org/10.1177/1940082917720667

(81). Warsaw, P., Archambault, S., He, A., & Miller, S. (2021). The Economic, Social, and Environmental Impacts of Farmers Markets: Recent Evidence from the US. *Sustainability*, *13*(6), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063423

(82). Xu, J., Guo, S., Xie, D., & Yan, Y. (2020). Blockchain: A new safeguard for agri-foods. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture*, *4*, 153–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aiia.2020.08.002

(83). Yadav, A. K., & Singh, S. V. (2014). Osmotic dehydration of fruits and vegetables: A review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, *51*(9), 1654–1673. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-012-0659-2

(84). Zhang, Q. (Ed.). (2016). *Precision Agriculture Technology for Crop Farming*. Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.1201/b19336

(85). Zomer, R. J., Neufeldt, H., Xu, J., Ahrends, A., Bossio, D., Trabucco, A., van Noordwijk, M., & Wang, M. (2016). Global Tree Cover and Biomass Carbon on Agricultural Land: The contribution of agroforestry to global and national carbon budgets. *Scientific Reports*, *6*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep29987