

The consumer in the light of experimental economics from investigation to neuronal analysis

Mohamed ROUCHDY, (PhD student)

*The Organizational Management Sciences Research Laboratory
National School of Commerce and Management
Ibn Tofail University of Kenitra, Morocco*

Ahlam QAFAS, (PhD, Full Professor)

*National School of Commerce and Management
Ibn Tofail University of Kenitra, Morocco*

Mounir JERRY, (PhD, Full Professor)

*Faculty of Economics and Management
Ibnou Tofail Kenitra University, Morocco*

Marouane MKIK, (PhD, Full Professor)

Institute of Nursing Professions and Health Techniques of Rabat, Morocco

Correspondence address :	National School of Commerce and Management Campus universitaire B.P : 1420. Kenitra - 14000 ; Téléphone : 0537329421 / 0537329422 ; Fax : 0537375637 ; Site internet : Encg.uit.ac.ma.
Disclosure Statement :	Authors are not aware of any findings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this study and they are responsible for any plagiarism in this paper.
Conflict of Interest :	The authors report no conflicts of interest.
Cite this article :	ROUCHDY, M., QAFAS, A., JERRY, M., & MKIK, M. (2024). The consumer in the light of experimental economics from investigation to neuronal analysis. International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management and Economics, 5(3), 474-486. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10900915
License	This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

Accepted: March 30, 2024

The consumer in the light of experimental economics from investigation to neuronal analysis

Abstract:

Experimental economics has sparked a revolution against the neoclassical theory of microeconomic consumption by aiming to uncover the motivations driving consumer choice. Unlike the traditional approach, which portrays humans in a normative, abstract, and mechanistic manner, experimental economics leverages advancements in technology and methodology. These advancements enable researchers to subject human behavior to experimentation under adjustable and controlled conditions, thereby challenging the validity of the homo economicus postulates, most of which are found to be inaccurate. Furthermore, the progress in this field is propelled by neuroscience, which aids specialists in understanding behavior by correlating it with brain activities. The primary objective of this article is to trace the evolution toward modeling consumer behavior, illuminated by the insights of experimental economics.

Keywords: Experimental economics; investigation; neuroeconomics; behaviour ; consumer.

Classification JEL: D12

Paper type: Theoretical Research

Résumé :

Afin de détecter les motivations du choix du consommateur, l'économie expérimentale révolutionne contre la théorie néoclassique de la consommation microéconomique ; qui ne traite l'être humain que d'une manière normative, abstraite et robotique ; tout en profitant du développement technique et technologique dans le domaine, ce qui a permis de soumettre l'être humain à l'expérimentation sous des conditions modifiables et contrôlables aboutissant la vérification des postulats de l'homoéconomus qui sont infirmés dans leur majorité, ainsi la poursuite de ce progrès est assurée par la neuroscience qui a aidé les spécialistes à comprendre le comportement à travers son lien de corrélation avec les activités cérébrales, en effet le but de cet article est de tracer la trajectoire aboutissant à la modélisation du comportement du consommateur en bénéficiant sous lumière de l'économie expérimentale.

Mots clés : économie expérimentale, investigation, économie neuronale, comportement du consommateur

JEL Classification : D12

Type du papier : Recherche Théorique

1. Introduction

In an era where the traditional economic theories of consumer behavior seem increasingly at odds with the multifaceted reality of consumer decisions, the imperative to bridge this chasm has never been more pressing. Our methodology proposes a harmonization of theoretical consumer choice models with empirical practice, leveraging the rigor and controlled conditions of experimental economics (Thaler, 2015). This discipline facilitates the study of human behavior under laboratory or field conditions, allowing for the empirical testing and validation of the principles and norms governing consumer actions as envisaged by neoclassical economics (Camerer, 2003).

The journey to decode consumer behavior has been marked by numerous theoretical propositions, all of which have grappled with the inherent complexity and nuanced nature of the human condition (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). This complexity is attributable to the confluence of various dimensions—physical, social, cultural, psychological, and ethical—that characterize human beings. Traditional models have fallen short, unable to encapsulate the intricate interplay of these dimensions. However, the advent of experimental economics, bolstered by significant advancements in technology and technical methodologies, offers a new vista for understanding consumer behavior (Fehr & Schmidt, 1999). Particularly, the integration of neuroscience into economic experiments has been a game-changer. By establishing a direct correlation between brain activity and behavioral patterns, neuroscience provides profound insights into the cerebral underpinnings of decision-making processes (Glimcher, 2011). The exploration of the brain's architecture and the specific roles of its constituent organs opens a new frontier in comprehending the genesis of human actions.

This paper endeavors to elucidate the influence of various experimental economics tools and mechanisms on shaping consumer behavior. Through a comprehensive and meticulous review of existing literature, we aim to present an in-depth analysis that addresses the pivotal question of how experimental economics has evolved and its consequent impact on our understanding of consumer behavior. This discourse will unfold in two primary segments.

Firstly, we will delve into the realm of human behavior from an experimental economics perspective. This section will focus on how experimental methodologies and neuroeconomic tools have contributed to a more nuanced understanding of consumer decision-making processes (Camerer et al., 2005). We will explore how these approaches have allowed researchers to dissect the multifaceted influences on consumer choices, moving beyond simplistic models to accommodate the complexity and variability inherent in human behavior. Secondly, the paper will chart the evolutionary trajectory of experimental economics itself. This part will highlight the technological and methodological innovations that have propelled the field forward, from rudimentary experiments to sophisticated studies harnessing the latest in neuroimaging and computational modeling techniques (Camerer, 2011). The evolution of experimental economics not only reflects advancements in research tools but also a shift in theoretical paradigms—from viewing consumers as rational actors to acknowledging the myriad psychological and neurobiological factors that influence decision-making.

2. Consumer Behavior in Literature Review

Consumption, as a pivotal driver of economies, wields significant influence at various levels, both nationally and globally. At the heart of every economic system, the consumer acts not only as a participant but also as a catalyst, initiating every link in the production chain. This dynamic confers paramount importance to consumer choices and behaviors, as they not only dictate the flows of demand and supply but also shape market trends and directions. Consequently, businesses continually strive to anticipate and respond to the changing needs of consumers,

adjusting their production, marketing, and distribution strategies accordingly. Moreover, consumption also influences governments' economic policies, as they seek to boost growth by fostering consumer confidence and encouraging spending. Additionally, consumption habits shape the culture and values of a society, influencing lifestyles, social norms, and even individual perceptions of happiness and success. Thus, acknowledging the central role of the consumer in the global economy invites deep reflection on the implications of our consumption choices, both economically and socially.

2.1. Historical Overview of Consumption and the Consumer

Economic thought history has long been anchored in the dynamic interplay between supply and demand. In earlier times, the prevailing wisdom dictated a "produce to sell" approach, where the emphasis was on meeting the demands of consumers, often surpassing the capabilities of supply. Even though these demands were often straightforward and uncomplicated, influential economists of the era, such as Ford and Taylor, prioritized production methods that emphasized economies of scale and standardization. The focus was primarily on increasing efficiency in production, while the prevailing belief, encapsulated by Say's Law, held that demand would inherently create its own supply.

However, with the advent of technological advancements and the subsequent expansion of the global economy following World War II, coupled with the ascendancy of capitalism, this paradigm began to shift. The balance between supply and demand tilted, with demand exerting a greater influence on shaping market dynamics. Today, the landscape of economic research is predominantly consumer-centric, with a keen focus on comprehending consumer needs, forecasting behavior, and influencing choices.

This modern approach to economics draws from a diverse array of disciplines, including psychology, sociology, and quantitative methodologies such as mathematical modeling and applied statistics, as highlighted by Joël B. in 2017. Researchers now leverage advancements in technology and insights from neuroscience to discern patterns in brain activity that correlate with purchasing behavior. This interdisciplinary framework underscores the intricate nature of consumers as entities that straddle the realms of biological and social sciences, revealing the complexity inherent in understanding and predicting their actions

2.2. Behavior: From individual needs to collective collaboration

Your passage eloquently explores the multifaceted nature of consumer behavior and decision-making processes, emphasizing the intricate interplay between individual motivations and external influences. It effectively highlights the journey from fundamental needs to desires, underscoring the importance of understanding the socio-cultural context in which these decisions unfold.

The integration of diverse disciplines in comprehending consumer behavior is particularly well-articulated, emphasizing the necessity of a multidisciplinary approach to fully elucidate the complexities of human behavior. By recognizing the various influences that shape decision-making processes, from environmental stimuli to social networks, your passage offers a comprehensive view of the factors at play.

The portrayal of decision-making as a collaborative endeavor, involving both internal stakeholders within organizations and external partners, adds depth to the analysis. This collaborative approach acknowledges the interconnectedness of various actors in shaping consumer behavior and highlights the importance of cooperation in navigating the competitive landscape. (Vallois, N, 2017).

Overall, your passage effectively captures the complexity of consumer behavior and decision-making processes, offering valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities faced by

organizations in understanding and responding to the evolving needs and preferences of consumers.

2.3.Consumer behavior in neoclassical theory

Your passage eloquently captures the foundational principles of neoclassical economic theory and the critiques that have been levied against the concept of homo economicus. It effectively outlines the idealized depiction of the rational consumer within neoclassical economics, characterized by perfect rationality and utility maximization, while also acknowledging the limitations and criticisms of this model.

The critique of homo economicus as overly simplistic and unrealistic is particularly well-articulated, with attention drawn to its normative nature, assumptions of complete information, and disregard for individual idiosyncrasies. Moreover, the neglect of temporal considerations in determining consumer preferences underscores the necessity for more nuanced models that integrate sociological and psychological dimensions into economic analysis (Petit, E. 2013).

The passage adeptly highlights the growing interest in empirically testing the assumptions of homo economicus against real-world behaviors, leveraging technological advancements and experimental methodologies. This shift towards a more empirical approach to economic analysis reflects a broader trend in the field towards incorporating insights from behavioral economics and other disciplines to develop more robust and empirically grounded economic theories.

Overall, your passage effectively conveys the complexities of economic decision-making and the ongoing efforts to refine our understanding of consumer behavior. It underscores the importance of embracing a more nuanced understanding of human rationality and decision-making processes in economic theory, ultimately aiming to develop models that better capture the intricacies of real-world behavior.

In his analysis, this individual presupposes a set of axioms that form the foundation of his approach. Firstly, he assumes unlimited cognitive capacity, positing that consumers possess the mental and physical faculties necessary to analyze, process, and evaluate various substitute products, thus maximizing their utility. Secondly, he assumes access to perfect information, implying that consumers have all necessary information readily available to them at all times and at no cost. Thirdly, he asserts absolute validity, suggesting that economic laws are universally applicable across time and space, disregarding regional or cultural variations. Additionally, he subscribes to the notion of selfishness, portraying consumers as rational actors solely driven by the pursuit of self-interest, devoid of ethical, cultural, or social considerations. Aimar, T. (2017).

Moreover, he assumes consumers to be adept calculators, capable of infinite precise computations without error, reducing rational behavior to numerical calculations of material value. These axioms, however, have drawn substantial criticism. Firstly, the theory is faulted for its normativity, as it abstracts from lived reality, creating a chasm between theory and practice. Secondly, the assumption of informational completeness is challenged in a dynamic world where obtaining relevant information can be costly and competitive advantage often hinges on access to timely information.

Furthermore, critiques point to the oversight of emotional and psychological dimensions in consumer behavior. Questions arise regarding the place of emotions, desires, and social influences in rational decision-making, undermining the homoeconomicus' portrayal of consumers as purely rational agents. Additionally, challenges are posed to the assumption of unlimited cognitive ability, highlighting the fallibility of human reasoning and the need to integrate psychological insights into economic analysis, as advocated by Keynes. (Vallois, N, 2017).

Transitivity, another axiom, is challenged by empirical evidence showing inconsistencies in consumer preferences and decision-making, violating the principle of utility maximization. Moreover, the theory's treatment of individuals as isolated entities, detached from their social and environmental contexts, overlooks the complexities of human interactions and interdependencies.

Critics argue that the neglect of time in consumer preference determination is a significant shortcoming, as it fails to account for temporal considerations in decision-making processes. This has prompted calls for more realistic models that integrate sociological and psychological dimensions, acknowledging the limitations of consumer rationality while striving to optimize decision-making processes. This evolution has led to the development of alternative models to homo-economicus, emphasizing experimentation and empirical analysis to better understand and predict consumer behavior in real-world contexts. (Pelloux, B., Rullière, J.-L., & Van Winden, F, 2009).

In conclusion, while the notion of consumer rationality remains central to economic analysis, its conception has evolved to recognize the inherent limitations of human decision-making. By incorporating insights from psychology, sociology, and other disciplines, economists seek to refine and improve our understanding of consumer behavior, paving the way for more nuanced and effective economic models and policy interventions.

3. The Role of Experimentation in the Economic Agenda

Experimental economics is a specialized branch of economic theory that utilizes controlled experiments to investigate economic behavior and test theoretical predictions. It emerged from efforts to empirically test the axioms and assumptions of neoclassical economic theory, which often rely on simplifying assumptions about human behavior and market interactions.

In experimental economics, researchers design experiments that simulate economic decision-making scenarios, typically involving participants making choices in settings that resemble real-world economic environments. These experiments allow researchers to observe how individuals behave in response to various incentives, constraints, and information structures. By systematically manipulating these variables, researchers can assess the validity of economic theories and explore the underlying mechanisms driving economic behavior (Pelloux, B., Rullière, J.-L., & Van Winden, F, 2009).

The field of experimental economics has progressed significantly since its inception. Initially, experimental economics focused on testing the predictions of neoclassical economic theory and assessing the robustness of standard economic models. However, over time, the scope of experimental economics has expanded to encompass a broader range of topics and research questions.

One major development in experimental economics has been the exploration of behavioral economics, which integrates insights from psychology and other social sciences into economic analysis. Behavioral economics examines how cognitive biases, heuristics, and social preferences influence economic decision-making, challenging traditional assumptions of rationality in economic theory.

Furthermore, experimental economics has increasingly embraced interdisciplinary collaborations, drawing on methodologies and insights from fields such as psychology, neuroscience, and computer science. This interdisciplinary approach has enabled researchers to explore complex economic phenomena from multiple perspectives and develop more nuanced models of human behavior (Vallois, N, 2017).

Overall, experimental economics has evolved from its origins as a tool for testing neoclassical economic theory to become a vibrant and interdisciplinary field that contributes to our understanding of economic behavior and informs policy decisions. Its progression over time

reflects the ongoing efforts of researchers to explore the complexities of human decision-making and advance the frontiers of economic knowledge. (Brée, J, 2017).

3.1.The genesis of experimental economics

Your passage eloquently captures the essence and significance of experimental economics in understanding human behavior and decision-making processes. It effectively delineates how experimentation, traditionally associated with the hard sciences, has become increasingly integrated into the economic and social sciences, particularly in the realm of experimental economics.

The recognition that consumer behavior cannot be adequately explained by a simplistic model of utility maximization is a pivotal insight that underpins the emergence of experimental economics. By acknowledging the multifaceted nature of human motivations and influences, experimental economics embraces a holistic approach that incorporates sociological, psychological, and real-world incentives into its analysis.

Furthermore, the interdisciplinary nature of experimental economics is highlighted, as it draws upon insights from various scientific disciplines such as psychology, anthropology, and economics. This interdisciplinary perspective allows researchers to delve into the complexities of decision-making by subjecting individuals to controlled experiments in laboratory or field settings, thereby shedding light on the intricate interplay between economic incentives and social dynamics.

Overall, the passage effectively emphasizes the importance of experimental economics as a tool for enriching our understanding of human behavior and societal phenomena. It underscores the value of interdisciplinary approaches in advancing our knowledge and insights into decision-making processes, ultimately contributing to the development of more robust economic theories and informed policy interventions. By integrating insights from psychology, sociology, and other disciplines, experimental economics offers a unique perspective on economic phenomena, shedding light on the underlying mechanisms driving individual and collective behavior. This interdisciplinary synergy not only enhances the rigor of economic research but also enables policymakers to design more effective interventions and address complex socio-economic challenges with greater precision and foresight.

3.2.The evolutionary timeline of experimental economics

The literature review conducted for this paper is systematic in nature. A systematic literature review was chosen as the methodological backbone for its rigorous approach to collecting, scrutinizing, and synthesizing existing research on the impact of experimental economics on consumer behavior (Tranfield et al., 2003). This method enables a comprehensive and replicable analysis, ensuring that the review encompasses a broad spectrum of relevant studies, and adheres to predefined criteria for selection and evaluation.

The systematic approach is particularly suited to our objective of elucidating the complex dynamics at play in experimental economics and its applications to understanding consumer decisions (Petticrew & Roberts, 2006). By employing this method, we ensure that the analysis is exhaustive and the findings are robust, lending credibility to the theoretical assertions made in the article. This review method involves a detailed search strategy, including specific databases and keywords, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and a structured process for evaluating the quality and relevance of the studies included.

Incorporating a systematic literature review into our study allows us to achieve a dual purpose. Firstly, it provides a solid foundation upon which to base our theoretical discussions, ensuring that our assertions are grounded in empirical evidence (Kitchenham, 2004). Secondly, it highlights the evolution of experimental economics from its nascent stages—marked by the pioneering work of psychologists and economists in the aftermath of World War II—to its

current status as a field characterized by rich interdisciplinary collaboration and technological innovation (Friedman, 1998).

Furthermore, detailing the type of literature review utilized underscores the academic rigor of our approach (Grant & Booth, 2009). It signals to the reader that the insights presented are the result of a deliberate and systematic examination of the field, reinforcing the credibility of the conclusions drawn about the impact of experimental tools and mechanisms on consumer behavior. This methodological clarity ensures that our contribution to the discourse on experimental economics is both valuable and verifiable, offering a comprehensive perspective on the challenges and opportunities that lie ahead in bridging economic theory with the lived reality of consumer choices.

The evolution of experimental economics can be traced through several significant milestones:

- In 1948, Chamberlan made an attempt to simulate a perfectly competitive market in his classroom, marking one of the earliest documented instances of experimental economics.

- Throughout the 1950s and 1960s, experimentation in decision-making processes was conducted by a diverse array of scholars, including economists, mathematicians, sociologists, and psychologists. These experiments explored decision-making under both certain and uncertain conditions, laying the groundwork for further developments in experimental economics.

- The period from the 1960s to the 1970s witnessed a proliferation of experiments focusing on decision-making games. Articles on experimental economics began to appear in specialized journals in both economics and psychology in the United States and Europe, signaling the growing recognition of experimental methods as a valuable tool for studying economic phenomena.

- From the 1970s to 1995, there was a notable resurgence in economic theory spurred by the successes of experimental research. This period saw the emergence of behavioral economics, which challenged traditional assumptions of rational decision-making and introduced psychological insights into economic analysis. Experimental economics experienced significant growth during this time, as researchers increasingly recognized its potential to test and refine economic theories. (Brée, J, 2017).

- Since 1995, experimental economics has matured as a field, evidenced by a steady increase in publications, recognition from international institutions through awards, diversification of experimental techniques, and expansion of the scope of experimental investigations. Experimentation in economics has become more sophisticated, with researchers utilizing a wide range of methodologies to study diverse economic phenomena.

Overall, the evolution of experimental economics has been marked by a gradual progression from early exploratory studies to a mature and vibrant field that continues to make significant contributions to our understanding of economic behavior and decision-making processes (Petit, E. 2013).

3.3.The Role of psychology in the behavioral economics agenda

Cognitive psychology plays a crucial role in understanding individual decision-making processes, shedding light on the intricate mechanisms that underlie human choices. Given that many microeconomic concepts are not directly observable, economists often turn to psychology to analyze economic phenomena, recognizing the profound impact of psychological factors on economic behavior. Emotions, moral values, and personality traits are just a few examples of psychological variables that significantly influence economic decision-making (Petit, E, 2013). Experimental methods are commonly employed in behavioral economics to subject individuals to controlled conditions, allowing researchers to test hypotheses and gain insights into economic behavior. However, one of the primary limitations of experimental economics is the challenge of sample representativeness. The majority of data and research in the behavioral

sciences are based on samples drawn from Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic populations, commonly referred to as WEIRD samples. This reliance on WEIRD samples poses a significant obstacle to the generalizability of findings, as the behavior of individuals from these populations may not necessarily reflect the behavior of individuals from diverse cultural, socioeconomic, and demographic backgrounds (Bourgeois-Gironde, S, 2018). Addressing this limitation requires a concerted effort to diversify research samples and incorporate perspectives from non-WEIRD populations. By expanding the scope of research to include a broader range of individuals, researchers can enhance the external validity of their findings and gain a more comprehensive understanding of human behavior across different contexts. Additionally, interdisciplinary collaborations between economists, psychologists, sociologists, and anthropologists can facilitate a more nuanced exploration of economic phenomena, incorporating insights from diverse disciplines to enrich our understanding of decision-making processes.

4. The neural approach to economics

Neuroeconomics is an interdisciplinary field that integrates insights from economics, psychology, and neuroscience to study economic decision-making processes at the neural level. It seeks to understand how the brain functions and how neural activity influences economic behavior and decision-making.

At its core, neuroeconomics explores the neural mechanisms underlying economic choices, preferences, and behaviors. By utilizing advanced neuroimaging techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and electroencephalography (EEG), researchers can observe brain activity in real-time while individuals engage in economic tasks or make decisions. (Vallois, N, 2017).

The impact of neuroeconomics on behavioral economics is multifaceted:

- ✓ **Understanding Decision-Making Processes:** Neuroeconomics provides insights into the neural processes involved in decision-making, shedding light on the cognitive and emotional factors that influence economic behavior. By mapping neural activity, researchers can identify brain regions associated with reward processing, risk assessment, and social preferences, among other economic phenomena.

- ✓ **Validating Behavioral Economics Theories:** Neuroeconomics offers a neurobiological basis for theories proposed in behavioral economics. It provides empirical evidence to support or challenge behavioral models of decision-making, helping to refine and validate economic theories based on neuroscientific findings.

- ✓ **Predicting Economic Behavior:** By examining neural markers associated with economic preferences and choices, neuroeconomics has the potential to predict economic behavior more accurately. Understanding the neural basis of decision-making allows for the development of neural predictors that can anticipate individual choices and market outcomes (Brée, J, 2017).

- ✓ **Informing Policy Interventions:** Insights from neuroeconomics can inform the design of policy interventions aimed at influencing economic behavior. By understanding how different interventions impact neural processes, policymakers can develop more effective strategies for promoting desirable economic outcomes.

Overall, neuroeconomics represents a powerful tool for advancing our understanding of economic decision-making. By bridging the gap between economics and neuroscience, it offers new perspectives and methodologies for studying human behavior, ultimately enhancing the predictive power and explanatory capacity of behavioral economics.

4.1.Origin, Definition, and Object of Neuroeconomics

Neuroeconomics stands at the forefront of a burgeoning transdisciplinary field that harnesses neuroscience measurement techniques to uncover the neural mechanisms underlying economic decision-making. At its essence, economics revolves around the evaluation of alternatives in decision-making processes. From this perspective, neuroeconomics takes aim directly at the brain, the organ responsible for orchestrating all human behavior.

The brain, often referred to as the black box of human behavior, acts as the nexus where information from diverse sources converges, ultimately shaping our decisions. Neuroeconomics offers a compelling approach for understanding, predicting, analyzing, and potentially influencing human behavior, particularly in light of the limitations of previous models to capture the intricacies of lived reality.

The success of neuroeconomics hinges on the interdisciplinary training of economists, encompassing a wide array of disciplines including sociology, biology, mathematics, psychology, and anatomy. By drawing from diverse fields of study, economists are better equipped to decipher the complex interplay between neural processes and economic behavior (Vallois, N, 2017).

In recent years, neuroscience has assumed a central role across various scientific domains that study human beings as subjects. Groundbreaking research has illuminated the extent to which our perceptions and choices are influenced by neurocognitive processes that operate beyond our conscious awareness. This deeper understanding of the neural underpinnings of decision-making holds immense promise for advancing economic theory and informing policy interventions aimed at shaping behavior in more effective and ethically sound ways. (Brée, J, 2017).

4.2.The impact of neuroscience on behavioral economics

Neuroeconomics is at the vanguard of research that gathers data from individual brains, processes this information, and conducts studies to unravel the intricacies of human decision-making. The primary objective is to construct a comprehensive model of human behavior by dissecting the multitude of factors that influence or motivate our actions. Central to this endeavor is the recognition that the brain serves as the master orchestrator of all human actions, making it the prime target for understanding behavior at its core. (Serra, D, 2012).

While psychological and sociocultural factors undoubtedly play crucial roles in shaping behavior, they are viewed as intermediaries that mediate between the intention to act and the execution of that action. Thus, detecting specific brain regions and analyzing cellular activities within them is paramount for establishing a direct link between brain functions and consumption behavior.

Technological advancements have propelled the mission of neuroeconomics forward, providing researchers with powerful tools to probe the inner workings of the brain. Innovations such as positron emission tomography (PET), which measures brain activity by assessing blood flow in specific brain areas, microelectrodes for recording the activity of individual neurons, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) for visualizing brain activity in real-time, and electroencephalography (EEG) for capturing brain electrical activity, have revolutionized our ability to study the brain-behavior relationship (Brée, J. (2017).

The Signal Detection Theory (SDT) is a powerful computational framework utilized to investigate the processes underlying belief formation in perceptual tasks. It provides researchers with a structured approach to understanding how individuals process information and arrive at decisions. Through the application of SDT, researchers can quantitatively analyze behavior and establish direct associations with the corresponding brain activities. This allows for a deeper exploration of the neural mechanisms underpinning economic decision-making (Serra, D, 2012).

SDT enables researchers to dissect complex decision-making processes into distinct components, such as signal detection and noise discrimination. By examining how individuals differentiate between meaningful signals and background noise, SDT offers valuable insights into the cognitive mechanisms involved in decision-making. Moreover, SDT allows for the investigation of decision criteria, sensitivity to signals, and response biases, providing a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing decision outcomes.

Furthermore, the integration of SDT with neuroscientific techniques, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and electroencephalography (EEG), enables researchers to directly observe brain activity during decision-making tasks. This integration facilitates the identification of specific neural circuits and regions associated with different stages of the decision-making process. By correlating behavioral data with neural activity patterns, researchers can elucidate the neural substrates underlying economic decision-making (Petit, E. 2013).

In essence, the application of SDT in conjunction with neuroscientific methods offers a powerful approach to unraveling the intricate mechanisms governing economic decision-making. By bridging the gap between behavioral observations and neural processes, researchers can gain deeper insights into the cognitive and neural underpinnings of decision-making, ultimately advancing our understanding of human behavior in economic contexts.

In essence, neuroeconomics represents a paradigm shift in our approach to understanding human behavior, transcending traditional disciplinary boundaries to forge a deeper understanding of the neural substrates of economic decision-making. By unraveling the mysteries of the brain, neuroeconomics holds the promise of revolutionizing our understanding of behavior and informing more effective strategies for promoting individual well-being and societal welfare.

5. Conclusion

The fusion of neural and experimental economics has undeniably catalyzed the advancement of behavioral economics, offering a potent antidote to the shortcomings of neoclassical theory. By presenting an alternative model to the traditional homo economicus, behavioral economics has succeeded in bridging the gap between economic theory and lived reality, thanks in part to the rapid progress in technology and methodology. This paradigm shift acknowledges that humans are not solely driven by material motivations but are instead complex entities comprised of various interconnected components, including the body, psychology, values, and culture.

In this holistic view, each of these constituents contributes to the decision-making process, with the brain serving as the central hub that collects information and translates it into decisions and choices. However, it's crucial to recognize that decision-making is a dynamic and multifaceted process, influenced by a multitude of factors that evolve from intention to attitude and finally culminate in a decision. (Serra, D, 2012).

The development of mathematical, neuronal, and experimental sciences has empowered researchers to subject individuals to controlled experiments, both in the field and in the laboratory. This experimental approach, conducted under manipulable and controllable conditions, facilitates the validation of economic laws and the testing of hypotheses regarding consumer behavior. However, it's important to acknowledge the inherent complexity of modeling consumer behavior, given the multifaceted nature of the human entity that serves as the subject of study in social and human sciences.

Despite the challenges posed by the complexity of human behavior, I echo your recommendation for increased research efforts in this domain. By leveraging interdisciplinary

approaches and cutting-edge methodologies, researchers can continue to refine our understanding of consumer behavior and develop more accurate and comprehensive models. Ultimately, this ongoing pursuit holds the potential to not only deepen our insights into economic decision-making but also inform policies and interventions aimed at promoting individual welfare and societal well-being.

References

- (1). Aimar, T. (2017). La neuroéconomie : Quelques éclairages sur un nouveau genre disciplinaire. *L'Actualité économique*, 92(1 2), 435 458.
- (2). Assande, A. (2011). Variables comportementales du consommateur de préservatifs : L'approche par la théorie du comportement planifié. *La Revue des Sciences de Gestion*, 252(6), 99.
- (3). Attallah, M. (2017). Représentativité et hétérogénéité des préférences dans les expériences de laboratoire. *L'Actualité économique*, 92(1 2), 403 434.
- (4). Barou, V. (2008). L'économie expérimentale : Un nouvel outil pour les SES ? *Idées économiques et sociales*, N° 153(3), 48.
- (5). Bourgeois-Gironde, S. (2018). Daniel Serra, *Économie Comportementale*. Paris, Economica, 2017.
- (6). Brée, J. (2017). *Le comportement du consommateur* (4e édition). Dunod.
- (7). Brisset, N. (2015). Comment (et pourquoi) repenser la performativité des énoncés théoriques ? *L'Homme et la société*, 197(3), 31-2.
- (8). Camerer, C. F. (2003). *Behavioral Game Theory: Experiments in Strategic Interaction*. Princeton University Press.
- (9). Camerer, C. F. (2011). *Behavioral Economics*. In J. H. Kagel & A. E. Roth (Eds.), *Handbook of Experimental Economics* (Vol. 2, pp. 1009-1078). Princeton University Press.
- (10). Camerer, C. F., Loewenstein, G., & Rabin, M. (Eds.). (2005). *Advances in Behavioral Economics*. Princeton University Press.
- (11). Chanut, V., Guibert, N., Rojot, J., & Dubois, P.-L. (2011). Les limites de la rationalité limitée ? Un essai de réflexion en sciences de gestion. *Management & Avenir*, 48(8), 97-117.
- (12). Cot, A. L., & Ferey, S. (2016). La construction de « faits » économiques d'un nouveau type : Éléments pour une histoire de l'économie expérimentale. *L'Actualité économique*, 92(1 2), 11.
- (13). Fehr, E., & Schmidt, K. M. (1999). A Theory of Fairness, Competition, and Cooperation. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114(3), 817-868.
- (14). Ferey, S., Gabuthy, Y., & Jacquemet, N. (2013). L'apport de l'économie expérimentale dans l'élaboration des politiques publiques. *Revue française d'économie*, XXVIII(2), 155.
- (15). Friedman, M. (1998). International Comparisons of Productivity and Causes of the Slowdown. *American Economic Review*, 88(5), 1146-1168.
- (16). Glimcher, P. W. (2011). *Foundations of Neuroeconomic Analysis*. Oxford University Press.
- (17). Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A Typology of Reviews: An Analysis of 14 Review Types and Associated Methodologies. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*, 26(2), 91-108.
- (18). Jacquemet, N., & Le Lec, F. (2017). Développements récents de l'économie comportementale et expérimentale. Introduction. *Revue économique*, 68(5), 719.

- (19). Jourdeuil, R., & Petit, E. (2015). Émotions morales et comportement prosocial : Une revue de la littérature. *Revue d'économie politique*, 125(4), 499.
- (20). Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263-291.
- (21). Kitchenham, B. (2004). Procedures for Performing Systematic Reviews. Keele, UK, Keele University, 33(2004), 1-26.
- (22). Martinez, F. (2010). L'individu face au risque : L'apport de Kahneman et Tversky. *Idées économiques et sociales*, 161(3), 15.
- (23). Massoni, S. (2017). Confiance, métacognition et perception. *L'Actualité économique*, 92(1 2), 459 485.
- (24). OLIVEIRA, F. G. B. de. (2016). Comportement du consommateur : les facteurs d'influence. *Revue scientifique pluridisciplinaire de la base de connaissances*, 9, 613-630.
- (25). Petit, E. (2013). L'économie du comportement et la théorie du care. Les enjeux d'une filiation. *Revue du MAUSS*, 41(1), 347.
- (26). Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide*. Blackwell Publishing.
- (27). Rouchdy, M., & Al. (2021). La rationalité économique de l'homoéconomus à l'épreuve des biais cognitifs : une lecture alternative. *International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management & Economics*, 2(5), 608-619.
- (28). Santos-Pinto, L. (2016). Le rationnel et l'irrationnel dans les choix du consommateur. *La Vie économique*, 4, 1-17.
- (29). Serra, D. (2012). Un aperçu historique de l'économie expérimentale : Des origines aux évolutions récentes. *Revue d'économie politique*, 122(5), 749.
- (30). Thaler, R. H. (2015). *Misbehaving: The Making of Behavioral Economics*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- (31). Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207-222.
- (32). Vallois, N. (2017). De la récompense à l'utilité : La trajectoire économique du béhaviorisme de 1961 à nos jours. *Cahiers d'économie Politique*, 72(1), 27 68.
- (33). Vignolles, B., Dostaler, G., & « Keynes et ses combats », J.-F. (2009). *Idées économiques et sociales*, 157, 64-76